Safe && Portable Data Structure Design

(in Rust)

Code and Supply Lighting Talk, Dec 2021

Memory Safety: How are we doing?



The Immortal Vulnerability Class

- Memory safety: exploit might mean turing-complete control of target process!
 E.g. ROP chain for a heap buffer overflow
- 2012: research surveyed <u>30 years</u> of failed C/C++ memory protections
 "SoK: Eternal War in Memory" by Szekeres et. al. (2012) [1]
- 2021: "Out-of-bounds Write" is #1 vulnerability of the year
 - Per the MITRE CWE Top 25 Most Dangerous Software Weaknesses [2]

[1] <u>https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~dawnsong/papers/Oakland13-SoK-CR.pdf</u> [2] <u>https://cwe.mitre.org/top25/archive/2021/2021_cwe_top25.html</u>

Memory Safety: We can do better!



About This Talk

- Designing a Rust data structure library...
- ...that can run, w/o an OS, on any embedded device Rust targets...
 E.g. #![no_std]
- ...and in which there is *provable** absence of memory corruption bugs
 - E.g. #![forbid(unsafe_code)]
 - *"Computer Scientist proves safety claims of the programming language Rust"* [1]

* == Barring soundness bug in the compiler or an unsafe DLL/core::function, etc. *No absolute security!*

[1] https://www.eurekalert.org/news-releases/610682

Alternative to std::collections::{BTreeMap, BTreeSet}

- <u>https://github.com/tnballo/scapegoat</u>, MIT Licence OSS
- **BTreeMap** has 32 APIs on nightly
- **SgMap** has 27 of those 32 on <u>stable</u> + 4 <u>fallible</u> API variants + 4 misc



How do ordered sets/maps work "under-the-hood"?

- Typically* implemented with a self-balancing search tree
 - Rust std: BTree
 - C++ STL: Red/Black Tree
 - Java.util: Red/Black Tree
- Retrieval is usually O(log n)
- Running example binary tree on right



* == CPython's OrderedDict is a link list?!

The Problem with Graphs/Trees in Rust

• On the heap, our example tree looks like this (owning references in green):



The Problem with Graphs/Trees in Rust

• If our algorithm also requires parent references (red), we have a problem!



3 Solutions: the Good, the Bad, and the Ugly

- Bad: C-style raw pointers (unsafe keyword)
 - Can read/write arbitrary memory, all bets are off!
- Ugly: **Rc<RefCell<T>>** (interior mutability with smart pointers)
 - Must take a runtime check penalty, it's lipstick over UnsafeCell.
- Good: arena allocation (next slide)
 - May still need runtime checks, but portability is unlocked and code is safe/clean/maintainable.
 - Arena allocation is not unique to Rust, but was demonstrated in Rust as early as 2015 [1]

[1] http://smallcultfollowing.com/babysteps/blog/2015/04/06/modeling-graphs-in-rust-using-vector-indices

The Safe Solution: Arena Allocation

• Store tree as a vector of elements, use indexes instead of pointers:



- Scanning this storage left to right, we have a logical tree:
 - Leaf 1: no children, parent at index 2
 - Leaf 4: no children, parent at index 2
 - Root 2: left child at index 0, right child at index 1

The Safe Solution: Arena Allocation

- **Portability:** know max capacity? Pre-allocate on the stack, no heap use!
 - Use fixed-size stack array instead of vector
- **Safety:** no raw pointers, no interior mutability checks
 - Index-based accesses may still be bounds-checked at runtime

Ok, it's safe and portable. But is it robust and correct?

- **Robustness:** We can't be sure!
 - OOB arena access means termination in Rust (e.g. "panic")
 - But not memory corruption, like C or C++
- **Correctness:** arena doesn't guarantee set/map logic is correct.
- "Differential fuzzing" can validate reliability and logical equivalence. Idea:
 - Use standard library's set/map as a "known good" model, fuzz against it
 - Stress test all APIs, in random order and with random parameters, and check "lock step"

Validation: Differential Fuzzing with LLVM's LibFuzzer

tb@baremetal: -/proj/scapegoat

tb@baremetal:-/proj/scapegoat\$ byzanz-record --duration=10 fuzz_10.gif 2>/dev/null & clear

ne IPv6 34.6 GiBW: (65% at Connecting...) 192.168.1.246 E: down 0.70 12/19/21 - 09:22:42

https://github.com/rust-fuzz/cargo-fuzz

Takeaway

- Portability, safety, speed with a little reframing, you can have all 3:
 - **Portable:** Stack-only mutable structures possible, <u>no heap or garbage collection</u>.
 - **Safe:** 1st-party static analysis <u>provably eliminates</u> vicious C/C++ bug classes.
 - **Fast:** Rust's speed is comparable to C/C++, often within single-digit percentage.
- Safe Rust is a limited kind of "formal verification":
 - **Limited:** Prove only one, domain-agnostic property memory safety.
 - **But Practical:** Development speed suitable for many commercial businesses.
 - **Fuzzing** is supplemental, stochastic validation for properties the compiler can't prove.

Thank you!

- Crate: <u>https://crates.io/crates/scapegoat</u>
- Blog: <u>https://tiemoko.com/blog/</u>
- GitHub/Twitter: @tnballo

Extra Slides

Per-instance Map Size with Const Generics (Rust 1.51+)





Stack Space Used (KB)

300

What happened in that terminal scroll?

- Basic block level coverage-guidance
 - Inputs generated to maximize code coverage in programs under test
- Structure-aware mutation
 - Both API calls and their arguments were generated precisely for the program
- Differential comparison
 - Looking for high level logic bugs the specification of an "ordered set", not just crashes